| UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK CHEVRON CORPORATION, |   |  | DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FILED DOC #:  |  |
|---|---|--|---|--|
|   |   |  |   |  |
|   | -against-   |  | 11 Civ. 0691 (LAK)  |  |
| STEVEN  | DONZIGER, et al.,   |  |   |  |
|   | Defendants  |  |   |  |
|   |   | ORDER  |   |  |
| order, the lawith each of fine to the on which hat \$2,000 fine purge hims      | The Court finds that Donzig<br>Forensic Inspection Protocol [DI:<br>duty imposed upon him by paragr.<br>Clerk of Court with respect to June<br>for June 3, 2019. It shall double<br>self of this contempt until the fine, | ger is in wilful contempt<br>2172]. Unless Donziger<br>aph 5 of the March 5, 2016<br>e 3, 2019 and each subsec-<br>tempt by doing so. The ar-<br>for each subsequent day<br>so calculated, would reach | nevron's motion to hold Donziger in r [DI 2175]. It does so now.  of paragraph 5 of the March 5, 2019 previously shall have complied fully 19 order, he shall pay a coercive civil quent day from that date until the date mount of the coercive fine shall begin during which Donziger fails fully to ch or exceed \$100,000, at which point iger fails to purge fully the contempt. |  |
| Dated:  |   |  |   |  |
| Dated.  | May 29, 2019  | Le   | Lewis A. Kaplan<br>wis A. Kaplan<br>States District Judge   |  |
| 1   |   |  |   |  |

The Court intended to include this language in prescribing the escalating nature of the fines in the May 23, 2019 opinion, paragraphs 1(b) and 2(b) of the conclusion. The Court would amend those paragraphs accordingly if the Court of Appeals were to restore jurisdiction for that purpose.